



C o u n t y o f S a n L u i s O b i s p o

GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY

Janette D. Pell, Director

Helen McCann, Department Administrator

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL PS- #1162 SAN MIGUEL COMMUNITY PLAN – TRANSPORTATION STUDY

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Updated January 27, 2012
January 18, 2012

Q: What is the budget for the Transportation Study?

A: The budget is approximately \$27,000.

Added on January 27, 2012:

Q: Both the Transportation Study and Public Facilities Financing Plan (PFFP) include an optional task for development of a traffic impact fee analysis. Are these meant to overlap?

A: We are requesting that firms proposing on both the PFFP and Transportation Study include a proposal and cost estimate for this optional task. Based upon the proposals received, the County will determine if it is more appropriate to associate this task with the Transportation Study or the PFFP. We expect the firms selected for the Transportation Study and PFFP will need to collaborate in performing this analysis, but only one of the two firms will be responsible for completing the analysis. Firms may propose on both the PFFP and Transportation Study, in which case, we recommend including the optional task on both proposal documents.

Q: How much is budgeted for the optional traffic impact fee analysis?

A: We expect to have roughly \$10,000 available for optional tasks to split between the Transportation Study and the PFFP.

Q: Does the County have a preferred traffic model or software?

A: The Public Works Department prefers that the analysis be done using Cube. If you would like to discuss using another model or software, you can speak with Ryan Chapman in Public Works at (805) 781-1406.

Q: Does the County see the transportation consultant as having any input on the land use planning component of the Community Plan update.

A: Yes. Circulation and land use are inextricably linked. If the proposed land uses cannot be supported by the proposed circulation system, adjustments may need to be made to the land use plan. If recommendations to make these adjustments surface as part of the transportation analysis, we would like to take that information into account as we modify the community plan.

Q: What level of input does the County expect from the transportation consultant on the circulation goals and policy changes?

A: The consultant will be provided with draft goals and policies developed by the community through workshops held in 2010 and 2011. We expect that the transportation consultant will evaluate these goals and policies and identify any conflicts. We further expect that the transportation consultant may identify additional goals and policies which they recommend for inclusion of the plan in order to meet stated objectives.

Q: Does the County see the transportation consultant as having any input on the mechanism for infrastructure financing?

A: Infrastructure financing will be the primary focus of the Public Facilities Financing Plan (PFFP). An RFP for the PFFP is being separately considered (refer to RFP #1161). The transportation consultant will need to coordinate with the PFFP consultant. As discussed above, we have yet to determine if the traffic impact fee analysis (optional task) will be attached to the transportation study or the PFFP. In either case, we anticipate that the selected firms will collaborate and share information in order to allow this task to be completed most efficiently.